

Multi-application Profile Updates Propagation: a Semantic Layer to improve Mapping between Applications

Nadia Bennani – Université de Lyon Max Chevalier - Université Paul Sabatier Elöd Egyed-Zsigmond – Université de Lyon Gilles Hubert – Université Paul Sabatier Marco Viviani – Università degli Studi dell'Insubria

MultiA-Pro 2012

**APRIL 16**<sup>TH</sup> **2012** 

#### OUTLINE

- Open Issues in Multi-application Personalization
- G-Profile
- The Semantic Layer
- Benefits of Integration
- Conclusions and Further Research

## Introduction

- Nowadays, many applications in different areas (digital libraries, search engines, e-learning, online databases, ecommerce, social networks...) collect information about users for service personalization.
- Applications organize user properties, preferences and assumptions based on the user state, in *user profiles*.
- Each application manages user information independently from others, using a specific *user model*.

#### Mono application user profile management



## Drawbacks

- *Data incoherence* among isolated user profiles can be produced, due to several drawbacks strictly connected to mono-application personalization.
  - Redundancy.
  - *Lack of inter-application experience*: data connected to a given user remain private to each application. Users cannot take advantage of their information scattered across different applications.
  - *Lack of inter-user experience*: users cannot profit of the experience already accumulated by other users, in the same or different applications.
  - *Lack of control*: users have little or no control over the information defining their profiles.

## Aim of our Work

• G-Profile: a multi-application user modeling system

- G-Profile allows user profile information to *evolve* in a multi-application context by user data *propagation*.
- G-Profile is based on user profile mappings between applications.
- To improve mapping management and to limit human intervention, we propose to add to G-Profile a *Semantic Layer*: a module allowing to automatically identify these mappings.

## G-Profile

- G-Profile does not propose neither a specific reconciliation technique able to take into account all the possible user data representations in different applications, nor a standard user profile model.
- We define some abstract *mapping functions*, based on the generic concept of *mapping between user data* among applications.
- An application is *G-Profile-aware* if it provides a suitable application programming interface (API) to access both its user profile attributes and a set of mapping functions for these attributes to be used in mapping generation assisted by G-Profile.



- Each application A manages a set of user attributes  $a^{A}_{k}$
- $k \in \{1, ..., m_A\}$
- $m_A$  is the total number of attributes for the application A
- for each user  $u_x$  using the application A, each attribute  $a^A_k$  has a value  $v_k$  associated, forming the user profile element as a couple (attribute, value)

• Formally 
$$e_k^{A,u_x} = \langle a_k^A, v_k \rangle$$



### Data Mapping Formalization - 1/2

- Each attribute can, from time to time, be involved as the *source* or the *target attribute* in a relation with others.
- More specifically, since attributes are organized differently in each application A<sub>i</sub> depending on the adopted user model, they can be permuted in several source sets

$$S_l^{A_i} = \left\{ s_1^{A_i}, s_2^{A_i}, \dots, s_{t_{A_i}}^{A_i} \right\}$$

#### Data Mapping Formalization -2/2

• In the same way, each attribute of the application *A<sub>i</sub>* can be a *target attribute* belonging to the *target set* 

$$T^{A_i} = \left\{ t_1^{A_i}, t_2^{A_i}, \dots, t_{v_{A_i}}^{A_i} \right\}$$

 We define a mapping between two applications A<sub>i</sub> and A<sub>i</sub>, i ≠ j, as the triple

$$\mathcal{M}^{A_i,A_j} = \langle \mathbf{S}^{A_i}, T^{A_j}, M^{A_i,A_j} \rangle$$

• Formally a mapping function  $m_k^{A_i,A_j}: S_l^{A_i} \to t_h^{A_j}$ 

## Mapping Graph Formalization

- It is possible to define a *mapping graph G* as a *combination of all the* mappings in our environment.
- *G* is a *directed graph G* = (*V*,*E*) composed of (*i*) a set *V* of *nodes*, (*ii*) a set *E* of *directed edges*.
- We define two kinds of node: *attribute nodes* (*n*-*att*) and *function nodes* (*n*-*fun*).  $V = V_{n-att} \cup V_{n-fun}$

• Formally  $S_l^{A_i} \in V_{n-att}, \quad t_h^{A_j} \in V_{n-att}, \quad m_k^{A_i,A_j} \in V_{n-fun}$ 



16/04/2012 MultiA-Pro



#### Data Propagation -1/2

- 1. A modification occurs on  $s_g^{A_i} \in S_l^{A_i}$ ;
- 2. G-Profile is notified that  $s_g^{A_i}$  has been modified and it gets the new value associated to  $s_g^{A_i}$ , together with the propagation attributes;
- 3. G-Profile verifies the existence of a mapping function on  $t_h^{A_j}$  having  $s_g^{A_i}$  as source object;
- 4. G-Profile asks the application  $A_i$  for complementary data if the target object  $t_h^{A_j}$  detains a matching function needing additional data;

### Data Propagation 2/2

- 5. Once all the needed source data are available, G-Profile sends to the application  $A_j$ : (i) the modification on  $s_g^{A_i}$ , (ii) possible additional data necessary to the mapping function involving  $s_g^{A_i}$  and  $t_h^{A_j}$ , (iii) the list of propagation attributes given by the application  $A_i$ ;
- 6. Once the application  $A_j$  receives this information from G-Profile,  $A_j$  will use them in order to evaluate the conditions that will effectively permit to propagate the modification on  $s_g^{A_i}$  to  $t_h^{A_j}$ .

## Recursive Data Propagation - 1/4



#### Recursive Data Propagation -2/4V0(3) V3(1) MODIFICATIONS FN12 V1(0) V3(3) **FN15** V2(1) FN8 V2(3) FN14 V2(2) V1(1) FN10 V4(3) FN5 V3(2) V3(0) FN3 V0(2) FN9 FN4 FN11 V0(0) FN7 V1(3) V1(2) V0(1) FN6 FN13 FN2 V2(0) FN1 (b)

#### Recursive Data Propagation -3/4



16/04/2012 MultiA-Pro



- Manual mapping creation is a time consuming process
- There are many « obvious mappings » easily identifiable



# Mapping Identification

#### • A "semantic layer" to:

• Allow every application to manage its own view on user profiles (e.g. different attribute names)

• Avoid explicit description of relations between attributes

 Identify related attributes into two user profiles coming from two applications

• Every application uses the semantic layer to label its own attributes

# Mapping Identification

#### • Generalized semantic user profile











#### Perspectives

- Validate the model on real or artificial data
- Handle privacy issues and refine the security and privacy issues through the semantic layer
- Integrate the semantic layer in the prototype
- Propose the model as a standard protocol

